

Building Housing Choices
Keheewin Advisory Committee (KAC)
Meeting Notes & Actions

Meeting Date: Friday, February 5, 2016
Location: Yellowbird East Community League Hall
Attendees: Keheewin Advisory Committee (KAC) - Joshua Benard, Joanne Gordey, Kevin O’Neil, Sharon Provost
 City of Edmonton (CoE) - Bwale Bwalya, Prabhat Dahal, Jessie Singer

1.	Welcome and Introductions a. Project and public engagement process overview
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● 4 committee members in attendance ● KAC view Keheewin as part of whole community of Yellowbird, therefore, they would like Bears paw considered as part of the community engagement process ● Public involvement process was explained using the diagram and explained different stages - 4 public meetings ● CoE Informed KAC - to date there is no funding for construction ● KAC questioned if the city has approached developers regarding the project or vice versa ● Committee requested a review of the REFI Summary shared in 2012 ● KAC questioned if during the REFI Keheewin was identified for Seniors Development ● KAC would like a clear definition for “Affordable Housing” ● Some KAC members expressed interest for senior housing that is “affordable”. They would like to age within their community ● KAC informed project team there is stigma around rental properties and fears that these properties will not be maintained properly
	<p><u>ACTION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Find a definition for “Affordable Housing” for the whole community to understand, differentiate from low income housing/social housing - Jessie Singer ● Share Summary of REFI of 2012 - Bwale Bwalya
2.	Approval of Agenda
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Agenda approved
3.	Confirm Advisory Committee Terms of Reference b. Group discussion of objective, scope of work, membership etc,
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Find a way to engage young families, CoE provided a suggestion to provide childcare during public meetings as a way to encourage young families with young children ● Build more awareness and understanding about the project, for example through newsletters in school ● Committee felt some pressure over the scope of the work; addressed through the

	<p>understanding of TOR scope of work (committee will provide review and suggestion but not be expected to generate materials)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● More membership in KAC is required, in particular a representative from some younger families and from the Community League ● More advisory meetings have been recommended, it would be reasonable to meet more often ● Recommendation from KAC to mail out comment forms following public meeting in addition to having an online option, there was some concern around cost of this ● Have the community members drop off the comment forms at the League, and if there is a possibility to include Bears paw in mail outs
	<p><u>ACTION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Revise Term of References - Jessie Singer ● Set up a meeting prior to the second Open House - Project Team ● Date recommended via doodle poll is late March/3rd week of March - Project Team
<p>4.</p>	<p>Confirm Advisory Committee Members</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Need more membership that reflects the diversity of the community ● Need some membership from younger members/ younger families
	<p><u>ACTION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Ask League to help with recruiting members onto KAC that is representative of the community - Prabhat Dahal
<p>5.</p>	<p>Discussion of Phase 1: What we Heard</p> <p>a. Input on effectiveness of format and materials</p> <p>b. Suggestions for second public meeting</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● KAC found material presented at Public Meeting and prior the meeting as fantastic ● A clear and understandable definition is required for “affordable housing” ● As it stands now, when affordable housing is discussed, the issues raised are crime, traffic and property values ● Clarity is required on MR and use of land sale revenues ● KAC would like to see something in return for the community. They consider the time taken to be engaged and to participate in the consultation talks, having to deal with noise from all building, etc, they need something in return for all the inconvenience ● CoE put forward a suggestion that an ancillary use could be considered as something in return the community receives as result of this development ● CoE needs to provide more information or build awareness around the opportunity to develop an ancillary use ● The term “ancillary” is technical, KAC recommends we refer to it as a “community benefit” ● KAC appreciated the fact that the Project team is starting from the first step of the Public Involvement Process (PIP), and they recommend that we should educate or build more awareness within the community which stage of the process we are starting from

	<p><u>ACTION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">● E-mail a copy of Policy C468A to KAC members - Prabhat Dahal
6.	Other Business
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● KAC were advised that TIA and other technical studies will be complete prior public meeting #2● There was a recommendation to have “advocates” talk to the community about the project - KAC members are willing to do so
	<p><u>ACTION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Update on progress of Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) - Prabhat Dahal